

Pressure Prediction Methodologies in South Caspian Basin

Saleh Orujov^{1*}, Baylar Aslanov²

¹Wellsite Geologist, Geology, SOCAR-AQS, Baku, Azerbaijan

²Professor, Geotechnological Problems of Oil, Gas and Chemistry Institute, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract— The regularities of distribution of pressure values in the southern basin of the Caspian Sea water area were investigated, and the possible calculation methodology of Overburden pressure, pore and hydraulic fracturing pressures was optimized. Pressure values were obtained by several methods. The calculated pressure results were compared and the differences were investigated.

Index Terms— pressure prediction, pressure profile, wellbore stability, hydraulic, analogical, petrophysical, basin modelling, extrapolation.

1. Introduction

Generally, Bulla field is very complicated place for drilling operation in a world. Therefore, we use several methods for pressure prediction. In general practice, there are several methods of predicting pressure values, which are used in different situations.

1. Analogical method
2. Petrophysical method
3. Basin modelling method

In areas which is there are rich logging data, in this condition petrophysical method is more convenient, in cases of where well test and drilled well data are rich, a similar method is used. Since the basin model of the South Caspian water area requires relatively deeper research, the article will only talk about analogical and petrophysical methods.

A. Petrophysical Method

1) Overburden Pressure Prediction's Methodologies

Overburden stress, or vertical stress (lithostatic stress), is caused by the weight of the overlying formations. If the overlying formations have an average density of ρ , then overburden stress (S_v) can be calculated by:

$$S_v = \int_0^z \rho g z D z \quad (1)$$

S_v – overburden stress [Pa],

ρ – density [kg/m^3],

g – gravitational acceleration constant [m/s^2],

z – depth [m].

Overburden pressure values is input parameters in formation

pressure calculation, after this operation pore pressure can be calculate. S_v and Pore pressure are input parameters for formation hydraulic fracturing pressure calculation, the calculation of pressure values starts with overburden (lithostatic) pressure calculation first. Overburden pressure is calculated using geophysical measurement results of density or sonic, seismic velocity etc. Initially, the methods of calculating overburden pressure by petrophysical method are given below.

Extrapolation Method: Density is extrapolated up to mud line using the following geometric fit.

Amoco: The average bulk density below the sea floor is estimated by an empirical equation obtained from statistical data from the Gulf of Mexico.

Gardner: Bulk density is modeled from sonic log and seismic data is required.

Miller: Miller density is calculated from porosity.

Wendt non-acoustic: The synthetic density is calculated with acoustic slowness and formation temperature.

Traugott: This is an empirical model of decreasing porosity with depth originally developed by David Scott and Martin Traugott (Amoco, 1988), from an exponential fit of Gulf Coast Miocene sediment density data compiled by Classen, 1966.

Average Density Input: In this mode, you can create a density curve which has a constant value along depth.




Fig. 1. Comparison between different pressure prediction methodologies in Bulla field

*Corresponding author: salehorucov9779@gmail.com

There is no any information about seismic velocity, connectivity, tectonic complication such a fault and fold, OWC, OGC contacts, oil and gas migration path etc. Due to limited information about field based on well tops data 3D structural model and map view was made, correlation with offset wells carried out (based on GR, RT data), PPFG plot was prepared each plan wells etc.




Fig. 2. 3D Structural model of Bulla field

2. Pore Pressure Prediction's Methodologies

Pore pressure is the pressure of the fluids contained within the pore space of a rock in the reservoir, commonly expressed as the density of fluid. In the absence of any other processes (compression, compaction), the pore pressure is simply equal to the weight of the overlying fluid, in the same way that the total vertical stress is equal to the weight of the overlying fluid and rock. This pressure is often referred to as the hydrostatic pressure. The normal hydrostatic pressure gradient for freshwater is 0.433 psi/ft (1.42 psi/m) and 0.465 psi/ft (1.52 psi/m) for water with 100,000 ppm total dissolved solids.




Fig. 3. General view of S_v , effective stress and pore pressure

Most pore pressure methods are based on effective stress approach ruled out by Terzaghi's law. The differences are in the way those stresses are derived from logs. The effective stress principle states that all measurable effects of a change in stress such as compaction and variation in elastic wave velocities are functions only of the effective stress.

A. Methods for Petrophysical Estimation of PP

Eaton Slowness: Calculates PP from compressional slowness.

$$PP = S_v - (S_v - PP_n) (\Delta t / \Delta t_{ng})^n \text{ Eaton sonic method} \quad (2)$$

Eaton Resistivity: Calculates PP from Resistivity log calculates PP from Resistivity log.

$$PP = S_v - (S_v - PP_n) (R / R_{ng})^n \text{ Eaton RT method} \quad (3)$$

Eaton D-Exponent: calculates PP from drilling exponent curve.

Bowers Original: calculates PP from compressional slowness or velocity based on Bowers method original formula.

Bowers: calculates PP with compressional slowness or velocity based on Bowers method.

Traugott resistivity: calculates PP from Traugott resistivity trendline based on Eaton method.

Traugott slowness: calculates PP with compressional slowness or velocity based on Traugott method.

3. Analogical Method

Where use transpose method one which is called structural adjustment and transpose method two that's is gradient method. These methods are very useable for pressure prediction. If we have a offset wells data such a (MDT, XPT), drilling data (such as ROP, WOB, RPM, flow rate etc.), registered drilling events (gain, gas kick, tight hole, overpull and other WBS events), properties of drill cuttings from samples collected at the shale shaker, caving data (splintery, tabular, angular etc.), measure gas levels from well, estimate a lithological column while drilling etc pressure value can be predictable. First and foremost, structural adjustment has to carried out, after this procedure pressure adjustment calculatable. Via this way pressure prediction is prepared. This version is about pore pressure prediction method. Formation fracture pressure predictions also calculatable via this way. If has a drilling event (loss), well test data (FIT, LOT, XLO) its min SFG can be taken to equal ECD data on the loss point and after with back calculation method k nod and Poisson ratio can be calculate. Poisson ratio and k nod are geological parameter and these parameters are specific parameter to formation. As a result, if it has a drilling events or formations test data of each formation, fracture pressure value can be calculated.




Fig. 4. Schematic workflow for pore pressure analyses (Applied petroleum geomechanics J.J. Zhang)

4. Fracture Pressure Prediction Methodologies

Fracture pressure is very crucial parameter for drilling operation. FG calculation method has been noticed below. Calculating fracture pressure on the basis of offset well data is a widespread method, events (total loss, seepage) in offset wells, well test results, are used for application of this method. For understanding S_{hmin} , SFG expressions firstly must be aware of rock preoperties and rock mechanics. Based on the general Hooke’s law and assuming uniaxial strain, which means we set $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y = 0$ in Hooke’s law we get a simple relationship based on Poisson’s ratio, but often referred to as k-nod, k_0 , or the earth coefficient at rest. Using the k_0 from laboratory tests in the shallow sections gives often good results, but with depth the relationship tends to deviate from the measure values. This is often explained with temperature, tectonics and diagenetic processes in the rocks, this is often presented in form as a tectonic component in addition to the k_0 value.

$$k_0 = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sigma_v} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \tag{4}$$

σ_h – Horizontal stress
 σ_v – Vertical stress
 ν – Poisson ratio

$$\sigma_e = S_v - \alpha \times PP \tag{5}$$

σ_e – Effective stress
 α – Biot coffisient

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{K_{dry}}{K_{matrix}} \tag{6}$$

$$S_h = PP + k_0(S_v - PP) + S_{tectonics} \tag{7}$$

S_h - Horizontal stress

Although there are no any UCS, TCS, TCTR core data we can calculate fracture pressure from loss points and bad FIT experience via above formula. Pressure prediction has been made in Bulla field for arbitrary well via transpose method one (structural adjustment). PP line has been selected from MDT points, wbs and gain events have been taken for absence MDT points. While SFG line calculation loss points and bad FIT results have been selected. In order to calculate the formation pressure at the points that do not have an actual value and are important to calculate, at two consecutive depths, the gradient of the remaining pressure value between the actual pressure values was calculated and extrapolated by depth.




Fig. 5. Pressure prediction overview by Saleh Orujov (Analogical method)

5. Conclusion

This paper presented a study on pressure prediction methodologies in south Caspian basin.

References

- [1] Jon Jincal Zhang, Applied Petroleum Geomechanics, Gulf Professional Pub, 2019.
- [2] Jack C.M., “Electromagnetic Effects on the Different Kinds of Water”, Journal of Electromagnetic Effects, 2(4), 47–76, 1992.
- [3] Application of Quantitative Risk Assessment for Optimum Mud Weight Window Design, June 2020 Conference: 54th US Rock Mechanics/ Geomechanics Symposium At: Golden, Colorado, USA.
- [4] R. Ashena, A. Elmgerbi, V. Rasouli, A. Ghalambor, M. Rabiei, A. Bahrami, “Severe wellbore instability in a complex lithology formation necessitating casing while drilling and continuous circulation system.”